IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

LOIS WHITE,)
Plaintiff,)
v. SD HOSPITALITY LLC, an Illinois limited liability company) Case No. 1:23-cv-14219
Defendant.)
)

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff Lois White, through her undersigned counsel, states the following in support of her Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief to remedy discrimination by Defendant SD Hospitality LLC based on Plaintiff's disability in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. ("ADA"), and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 36:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000a-3(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1343.
- 2. Venue is appropriate in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the acts of discrimination occurred in this district, and the property that is the subject of this action is in this district.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is a resident of Cook County, Illinois.

- 4. Defendant SD Hospitality LLC. is a limited liability company with its registered office located at 4375 Frontage Rd., Oak Forest, IL 60452.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant SD Hospitality LLC owns or operates "Holiday Inn Chicago Tinley Park, an IHG Hotel" whose location qualifies as a "Facility" as defined in 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.
- 7. Plaintiff is an individual with disabilities, including arthritis of the lumbar spine and osteoarthritis of the right knee. These conditions cause sudden onsets of severe pain and substantially limit Plaintiff's ability to perform certain manual tasks, walk, stand, lift, and bend. The disabilities and symptoms are permanent.
- 8. Plaintiff suffered from these disabilities during her initial visits (and prior to instituting this action) to "Holiday Inn Chicago Tinley Park, an IHG Hotel."
- 9. Plaintiff's disabilities are considered a qualified disability under 28 C.F.R. 36.105.
- 10. Plaintiff's condition is degenerative and occasionally requires mobility aids to assist her movement.
- 11. Plaintiff regularly travels to the Tinley Park area to visit friends and shop. Most recently, Plaintiff was in the Tinley Park area in August 2023. Plaintiff plans to return to the area in September 2023.
 - 12. Plaintiff stays in hotels when she is in the area.

- 13. Plaintiff does not always stay in the same hotel, but prefers to shop around for the best prices, location, and ease of access to accommodate her disabilities.
- 14. Plaintiff regularly experiences barriers to access relating to her disability at hotels due to her frequent travels.
- 15. While many hotels advertise that they are accessible, Plaintiff still regularly encounters barriers to access.
- 16. This requires Plaintiff to visit hotels that offer the amenities, pricing, and location she desires prior to making reservations to ensure that she can access the Facility in a manner equal to non-disabled individuals.
- 17. Despite advertising that the Holiday Inn Chicago Tinley Park, an IHG Hotel is accessible, Plaintiff encountered barriers to access, which denied her full and equal access and enjoyment of the services, goods, and amenities when she visited the Tinley Park Facility on March 4, 2023.
- 18. Plaintiff is currently deterred from considering the Facility as a lodging option on her planned visits due to the barriers and discriminatory effects of Defendant's policies and procedures at the Facility.
- 19. Plaintiff is deterred from returning due to the barriers and discriminatory effects of Defendant's policies and procedures at the Facility.
- 20. Plaintiff returns to every Facility after being notified of remediation of the discriminatory conditions to verify compliance with the ADA and regularly monitors the status of remediation.

COUNT I REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2201

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.
- 22. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment regarding: (1) Defendant's violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12182; (2) Defendant's duty to comply with the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et al; (3) Defendant's duty to remove architectural barriers at the Facility; and (4) Plaintiff's right to be free from discrimination due to her disability. 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 23. Plaintiff seeks an order declaring that she was discriminated against on the basis of her disability.

COUNT II REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 2000a-3(a)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs by reference.
- 25. The Tinley Park Facility is a place of public accommodation covered by Title III of the ADA because it is operated by a private entity, its operations affect commerce, and it is a hotel. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7); see 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.
- 26. Defendant is a public accommodation covered by Title III of the ADA because it owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7), 12182(a); 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.
- 27. Architectural barriers exist which deny Plaintiff full and equal access to the goods and services Defendant offers to non-disabled individuals.
- 28. Plaintiff personally encountered architectural barriers on March 4, 2023 at the Holiday Inn Chicago Tinley Park, an IHG Hotel located at 18320 N. Creek Dr., Tinley Park, IL 60477 that affected her disabilities.

a. Women's Restroom:

- i. Failing to provide a trash can in an accessible position (back wall or other inaccessible place) so that it can be reached by a person with a disability in violation of sections 606, 606.1, 308 and 308.2.2 of the Standards, which aggravates Plaintiff's back injury and causes undue strain on her injuries.
- ii. Failing to provide the water closet seat at the correct height above the finished floor in violation of sections 604 and 604.4 of the Standards, which aggravates Plaintiff's leg and back injuries and causes her undue pain getting onto and off of the toilet.
- iii. Failing to provide rear grab bars at 33 inches minimum and 36 inches maximum above the finished floor measured to the top of the gripping surface in violation of sections 609, 609.4 and 609.7 of the Standards, which prevents Plaintiff from using the grab bars for the assistance she needs getting onto and off of the toilet due to her back and knee injuries.
- iv. Failing to provide side grab bars at 33 inches minimum and 36 inches maximum above the finished floor measured to the top of the gripping surface in violation of sections 609, 609.4 and 609.7 of the Standards, which prevents Plaintiff from using the grab bars for the assistance she needs getting onto and off of the toilet due to her back and knee injuries.
- v. Providing a gate or door with a continuous opening pressure of greater than 5 lbs. exceeding the limits for a person with a disability in violation

of sections 404, 404.1, 404.2, 404.2.9 and 309.4 of the Standards, which aggravates Plaintiff's injuries and causes undue strain on her back because the door pressure is too heavy.

vi. Failing to provide the correct height for a table surface or for a baby changing table, in violation of sections 902, 902.1, 902.2, 902.3, and/or §4.32.4 of the 1991 ADA Standards, which aggravates Plaintiff's back and knee injuries when trying to use the baby changing table.

b. Seating in Food Service Area:

- i. Failing to provide seating for a person(s) with a disability that has the correct clear floor space for forward approach in violation of sections 902, 902.2, 305 and 306 of the Standards, which aggravates Plaintiff's knee and back injuries by preventing her from extending her legs without obstruction while being able to utilize the table surface.
- ii. Failing to provide a sufficient amount of seating when dining surfaces are provided for the consumption of food or drink for a person(s) with a disability in violation of sections 226, 226.1, 902, 305 and 306 of the Standards, which requires Plaintiff to utilize seating that is unsafe and painful for her to use due to her knee and back injuries.

c. Passenger Loading Zone:

i. Failing to provide a passenger loading zone with an access aisle marked with striping in violation of sections 209, 209.1, 209.4, 503, 503.1, 503.3 and 503.3.3 of the Standards, which requires Plaintiff to park her vehicle

further than necessary from the Facility and walk an excessive distance, thereby causing pain in her back and knee.

- 29. These barriers cause Plaintiff difficulty in safely using each element of the Facility because of Plaintiff's impaired mobility and limited range of motion in her legs and back requiring extra care due to concerns for safety and a fear of aggravating her injuries.
- 30. Defendant has failed to remove some or all of the barriers and violations at the Facility.
- 31. Defendant's failure to remove these architectural barriers denies Plaintiff full and equal access to the Facility in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 32. Defendant's failure to modify its policies, practices, or procedures to train its staff to identify architectural barriers and reasonably modify its services creates an environment where individuals with disabilities are not provided goods and services in the most integrated setting possible is discriminatory. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182(a), 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302.
- 33. It would be readily achievable for Defendant to remove all of the barriers at the Facility.
- 34. Failing to remove barriers to access where it is readily achievable is discrimination against individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182(a), 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), and 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

A. declare that the Facility identified in this Complaint is in violation of the ADA;

B. declare that the Facility identified in this Complaint is in violation of the 2010

ADA Standards for Accessible Design;

C. enter an Order requiring Defendant make the Facility accessible to and usable by

individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA and the 2010 ADA

Standards for Accessible Design;

D. enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies,

practices, and procedures towards persons with disabilities;

E. award Plaintiff attorney fees, costs (including, but not limited to court costs and

expert fees) and other expenses of this litigation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and

F. grant any other such relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

CASS LAW GROUP, P.C.

/s/ Angela C. Spears

Angela C. Spears (IL Bar #: 6327770)

CASS LAW GROUP, P.C.

20015 S. LaGrange Rd #1098

Frankfort, IL 60423

T: (833) 343-6743

F: (855) 744-4419

E: aspears@casslawgroup.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Dated: September 27, 2023

8